

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

MM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,859		11/24/2003	Craig L. Reding	03-1013 5176 EXAMINER	
32127	7590	08/17/2006			
VERIZON			ANWAH, OLISA		
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP 1515 N. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, VA 22201-2909	•		2614	
				DATE MAILED: 08/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/720,859	REDING ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Olisa Anwah	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	une 2006						
	action is non-final.						
·	<u>.</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-36,38-52,54-76 and 78-86</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,37,53 and 77</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or .						
•		Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	յ (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•						

Application/Control Number: 10/720,859 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16-22 and 56-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al, U.S. Patent No. 7,065,198 (hereinafter Brown) in view of Wyman, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0046071 (hereinafter Wyman).

Regarding claim 16, Brown discloses a method comprising:
establishing a conference call between a plurality of
users, including an initiating user;

identifying a plurality of destination devices for an audio stream corresponding to the conference call, the destination devices corresponding to the plurality of users;

providing the audio stream to at least one of the identified destination devices; and

storing data contained in the audio stream; and

creating a text transcription of at least a portion of the stored audio stream data (see Figures 4 and 19).

With further respect to claim 16, Brown does not teach the text transcription includes a pointer to one or more audio files containing non-transcribed portions of the stored audio stream data. However Wyman discloses this limitation (see Figure 6).

And so, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brown with the voice recognition apparatus of Wyman. This modification would have improved the convenience of Brown by allowing any sounds not recognized as defined words to be represented by an icon that represents an audio clip as suggested by Wyman (see abstract).

Regarding claim 17, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Regarding claim 18, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 19, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 20, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Regarding claim 21, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Regarding claim 22, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Claim 56 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 16.

Regarding claim 57, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,859

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 58, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 59, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 60, see Figure 4 of Brown.

Regarding claim 61, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Regarding claim 62, see Figure 21 of Brown.

3. Claims 1-12, 14, 15, 23-36, 38-52, 54, 55, 63-76 and 78-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Ludwig et al, U.S. Patent Application

Publication No. 2004/0103152 (hereinafter Ludwig).

Regarding claim 1, Brown discloses a method comprising:
establishing a conference call between a plurality of
users, including an initiating user;

ascertaining identities of a plurality of destination devices for an audio stream corresponding to the conference call, the destination devices corresponding to the plurality of users;

providing the audio stream to the plurality of destination devices; and

storing data (see the <u>identification</u> from column 9) contained in the audio stream (see Figure 4).

With further respect to claim 1, Brown does not disclose selectively deleting the identification, while the conference call is ongoing, based on a determination that a user has exited the conference call. Regardless, Ludwig discloses this feature (see paragraph 0161). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Brown with selectively deleting the identification, while the conference call is ongoing, based on a determination that a user has exited the conference call as taught by Ludwig. This modification would have improved the convenience of Brown by notifying all other conference participants that the participant has exited as suggested by both Ludwig (see paragraph 0161) and Brown (see column 6).

Regarding claim 2, see column 11 of Brown.

Regarding claim 3, see column 3 of Brown.

Regarding claim 4, see column 4 of Brown.

Regarding claim 5, see Figure 10 of Brown.

Regarding claim 6, see Figure 4 of Brown.

Regarding claim 7, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 8, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 9, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 10, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 11, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 12, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Regarding claim 14, see Figure 32 of Brown.

Regarding claim 15, see column 3 of Brown.

Claim 23 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 12.

Claim 24 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Regarding claim 25, see column 11 of Brown.

Regarding claim 26, see column 4 of Brown.

Regarding claim 27, see Figure 10 of Brown.

Regarding claim 28, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Regarding claim 29, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 30, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Claim 31 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Regarding claim 32, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 33, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 34, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 35, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 36, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Regarding claim 38, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 39, see Figures 7A-B of Brown.

Regarding claim 40, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Claim 41 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Regarding claim 42, see column 11 of Brown.

Regarding claim 43, see column 3 of Brown.

Regarding claim 44, see Figure 4 of Brown.

Regarding claim 45, see Figure 10 of Brown.

Regarding claim 46, see Figure 4 of Brown.

Regarding claim 47, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 48, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 49, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 50, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 51, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 52, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Regarding claim 54, see Figure 32 of Brown.

Regarding claim 55, see column 3 of Brown.

Claim 63 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 64 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Regarding claim 65, see column 11 of Brown.

Regarding claim 66, see Figure 4 of Brown.

Regarding claim 67, see Figure 10 of Brown.

Regarding claim 68, see Figure 4 of Brown.

Regarding claim 69, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 70, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Claim 71 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Regarding claim 72, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 73, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 74, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 75, see Figures 21 and 24 of Brown.

Regarding claim 76, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Regarding claim 78, see Figure 7A of Brown.

Regarding claim 79, see Figures 7A-B of Brown.

Regarding claim 80, see Figure 21 of Brown.

Claim 81 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 82 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 83 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 84 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 85 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 86 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 12.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 13, 37, 53 and 77 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,859

Art Unit: 2614

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olisa Anwah whose telephone number is 571-272-7533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8.30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

O. A.

Olisa Anwah Patent Examiner August 9, 2006

> FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 9